arXiv:1402.0141v7 [math.CV] 4 May 2015

# DIAGONAL DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

ROBERT D. BATES

Abstract. We explore differential operators, $T$, that diagonalize on a simple basis, $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, with respect to some sequence of real numbers, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and sequence of polynomials, $\{Q_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, as in $T[B_n(x)] := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x)D^k\right) B_n(x) = a_n B_n(x)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We discover new relationships between the sequence, $\{Q_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, and the sequence, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. We find new relationships between polynomial interpolated eigenvalues and the sequence, $\{\deg(Q_k(x))\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$.

## 1. Introduction

A quintessential unsolved problem in the theory of differential operators,

$$T := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x)D^k, \text{ where } D := \frac{d}{dx}, \tag{1.1}$$

is to characterize the properties of the polynomials, $\{Q_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, so that $T$ preserves the class of polynomials with only real zeros (the Laguerre-Pólya Class) (Definition 18), (see, for example, [1–5] and the references therein). However, the aforementioned problem is still open. We restrict our attention to differential operators that are diagonal with respect to an eigenvector sequence of polynomials, $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ($\deg(B_n(x)) = n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $B_0(x) \not\equiv 0$), and eigenvalue sequence of real numbers, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, such that

$$T[B_n(x)] := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x)D^k\right) B_n(x) = a_n B_n(x), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{1.2}$$

Our main result characterizes all possible eigenvalues of a diagonal differential operator (Theorem 7), a generalization of A. Piotrowski [16, Proposition 33, p. 35]. We show, in particular, that if the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ cannot be interpolated by a polynomial (Definition 2), then $T$ must be an infinite order (Definition 3) differential operator (Corollary 9). We generalize a result of L. Miranian [14] and show for some eigenvector sequences, $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, that $T$ is a finite order diagonal differential operator if and only if the sequence, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, can be interpolated by a polynomial (Theorem 13). Moreover, if $T$ is hyperbolicity preserving with positive increasing eigenvalues, then $\deg(Q_k(x)) = k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ up to the degree of the polynomial that interpolates $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. If the sequence, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, cannot be interpolated by a polynomial, then $\deg(Q_k(x)) = k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (Theorem 24).

Our investigations are divided into two parts. First, we explore the properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors in a diagonal differential operator. Second, we discuss these results in conjunction with hyperbolicity preserving operators and multiplier sequences.

## 2. Diagonal Differential Operators

**Definition 1.** Let $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a linear operator. We say that $T$ is a *diagonal differential operator*, if there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and a sequence of real polynomials, $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ($\deg(B_n(x)) = n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $B_0(x) \not\equiv 0$), such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $T[B_n(x)] = a_n B_n(x)$. For clarity, we note that a diagonal differential operator, $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{R}[x]$, is a linear operator with the additional assumption that there is an eigenvector of degree $n$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

**Definition 2.** Let $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers. If there is a polynomial, $p(x)$, such that $a_n = p(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then we say that $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is *interpolated by a polynomial*. If no such polynomial can be found, then $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *cannot be interpolated by a polynomial*.

**Definition 3.** Let $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a linear operator of the form,

$$T := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x)D^k, \tag{2.1}$$

*Date*: May 5, 2015.

where $\{Q_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is some sequence of real polynomials. We say $T$ is a *finite order* differential operator of order $n$, if $Q_n(x) \not\equiv 0$ and $Q_k(x) \equiv 0$ for $k > n$. Similarly, we say that $T$ is an *infinite order* differential operator if $Q_k(x) \not\equiv 0$ for infinitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We begin this section with a slight extension of Peetre's 1959 result on linear operator representation [15] (see also [16, p. 32]), which demonstrates that every linear operator, from $\mathbb{R}[x]$ to $\mathbb{R}[x]$, can be represented in the form of (2.1).

**Theorem 4.** *If $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{R}[x]$ is any linear operator, then there is a unique sequence of polynomials, $\{Q_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}[x]$, such that*

$$T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x) D^k, \text{ where } D := \frac{d}{dx}. \tag{2.2}$$

*Furthermore, given any sequence of polynomials, $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ($\deg(B_n(x)) = n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $B_0(x) \not\equiv 0$), then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$Q_n(x) = \frac{1}{B_n^{(n)}} \left( T[B_n(x)] - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Q_k(x) B_n^{(k)}(x) \right). \tag{2.3}$$

*Proof.* We begin by defining the sequence of polynomials, $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, by the recursive formula of (2.3). Hence, solving for $T[B_n(x)]$ in (2.3), yields,

$$T[B_n(x)] = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} Q_k(x) D^k \right) B_n(x), \tag{2.4}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, define the operator,

$$\tilde{T} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x) D^k, \tag{2.5}$$

and note that $T[B_n(x)] = \tilde{T}[B_n(x)]$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By linearity, we conclude that $T[p(x)] = \tilde{T}[p(x)]$ for every $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Hence, $T$ can be represented by the differential operator (2.2) where the $Q_k$'s are given by the recursive formula in (2.3). We now establish uniqueness. Suppose there are sequences of real polynomials, $\{Q_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\tilde{Q}_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, such that

$$T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x) D^k \quad \text{and} \quad T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Q}_k(x) D^k. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\deg(p(x))} Q_k(x) p^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg(p(x))} \tilde{Q}_k(x) p^{(k)}(x), \tag{2.7}$$

for every $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. For $p(x) = 1$, this gives $Q_0(x) = \tilde{Q}_0(x)$. For $p(x) = x$, this gives $Q_0(x)x + Q_1(x) = \tilde{Q}_0(x)x + \tilde{Q}_1(x)$ and hence, $Q_1(x) = \tilde{Q}_1(x)$. We continue by induction and conclude that $Q_n(x) = \tilde{Q}_n(x)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. □

The next two theorems include some combinatorial facts that will be useful.

**Theorem 5** ([19, p. 49])**.** *If $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of real numbers and we define for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$c_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a_k, \tag{2.8}$$

*then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} c_k (-1)^{n-k}. \tag{2.9}$$

**Theorem 6.** *Let $p(x)$ be a real polynomial and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ set*

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p(k) (-1)^{n-k}. \tag{2.10}$$

*If $n > \deg(p(x))$, then $a_n = 0$. If $n = \deg(p(x))$, then $a_n = n! p^{(n)} \neq 0$.*

*Proof.* It is well known that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}x^k=(1+x)^n, \tag{2.11}$$

thus,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}k^m x^k=(xD)^m(1+x)^n,\ \text{where } D:=\frac{d}{dx}. \tag{2.12}$$

In equation (2.12), each differentiation reduces by one the multiplicity of the zero at $-1$. Thus we have,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}k^m(-1)^{n-k}=(-1)^n(xD)^m(1+x)^n\Big|_{x=-1}=\begin{cases}0 & 0\le m<n\\ n! & m=n\end{cases}. \tag{2.13}$$

Calculation (2.13) is also found in [10, Equation 1.13, p. 2]. Thus, for polynomial, $p(x):=c_0+c_1x+\cdots+c_nx^n$, $\deg(p(x))\le n$, we have,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}p(k)(-1)^{n-k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(c_0+c_1k+\cdots+c_nk^n\right)(-1)^{n-k} \tag{2.14}$$

$$=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^{n-k}\right)c_0+\cdots+\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}k^n(-1)^{n-k}\right)c_n=n!c_n. \tag{2.15}$$

The result now follows. □

**Theorem 7.** *If $T$ is a diagonal differential operator,*

$$T[B_n(x)]:=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}Q_k(x)D^k\right)B_n(x)=a_nB_n(x),\qquad n\in\mathbb{N}_0, \tag{2.16}$$

*then*

$$a_n=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}Q_k^{(k)} \tag{2.17}$$

*and*

$$Q_n^{(n)}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a_k(-1)^{n-k}. \tag{2.18}$$

*Proof.* Notice that for each $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $\deg\left(T[B_n(x)]\right)=\deg(a_nB_n(x))\le n$. Hence, the recursive formula of Theorem 4, establishes by induction that $\deg(Q_k(x))\le k$ for each $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, by assumption, since

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}Q_k(x)D^k\right)B_n(x)=a_nB_n(x), \tag{2.19}$$

then differentiating both sides $n$ times yields,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}Q_k^{(j)}(0)B_n^{(k+n-j)}(0)=a_nB_n^{(n)}. \tag{2.20}$$

Hence, since $B_n(x)$ is of degree $n$ and $\deg(Q_k(x))\le k$, we have,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}Q_k^{(k)}=a_n. \tag{2.21}$$

Equation (2.18) follows from Theorem 5. □

As we will find, Theorem 7 will lead to a number of interesting observations concerning diagonal differential operators. In many places, formula (2.17) is typically assumed as a condition of study (for example [11]) or additional hypotheses are imposed to obtain (2.17) (see [12, 22]). In the orthogonal case, this calculation is also found in [20, 21]; in particular, in [21, Theorem 4.2.2, p. 61] somewhat more difficult abstract methods are used to derive (2.17) for the Jacobi polynomials. Equation (2.17) also appears to partially solve a problem of Fisk [8, Question 115, p. 731]. We also note that Theorem 7 is a generalization of A. Piotrowski's observation [16, Proposition 33, p. 35].

Our first interesting result provides necessary and sufficient conditions for the sequence of eigenvalues of a diagonal differential operator to be interpolated by a polynomial.

**Theorem 8.** *Suppose $T$ is a diagonal differential operator,*

$$T[B_n(x)] := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x)D^k\right) B_n(x) = a_n B_n(x), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.22}$$

*Then $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ can be interpolated by a polynomial of degree $m$ if and only if $\deg(Q_m(x)) = m$ and $\deg(Q_k(x)) < k$ for $k > m$. Moreover, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ cannot be interpolated by a polynomial if and only if $\deg(Q_k(x)) = k$ for infinitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

*Proof.* As was noted in the proof of Theorem 7, $\deg(Q_k(x)) \leq k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In addition, by Theorem 7,

$$Q_n^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a_k (-1)^{n-k}. \tag{2.23}$$

Thus, if $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ can be interpolated by a polynomial of degree $m$, $p(x)$, (i.e., $p(n) = a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, see Definition 2), then by Theorem 6, $Q_m^{(m)} \neq 0$ and $Q_k^{(k)} = 0$ for $k > m$; that is, $\deg(Q_m(x)) = m$ and $\deg(Q_k(x)) < k$ for all $k > m$. Conversely, if there is $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\deg(Q_m(x)) = m$ and $\deg(Q_k(x)) < k$ for all $k > m$ (that is, $Q_m^{(m)} \neq 0$ and $Q_k^{(k)} = 0$ for k$> m$), then, by Theorem 7, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ can be interpolated by the polynomial of degree $m$,

$$p(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{x}{k} Q_k^{(k)}, \tag{2.24}$$

where for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\binom{x}{k} = \frac{1}{k!}x(x-1)\cdots(x-(k-1))$ is a polynomial of degree $k$. □

**Corollary 9.** *Suppose $T$ is a diagonal differential operator,*

$$T[B_n(x)] := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x)D^k\right) B_n(x) = a_n B_n(x), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.25}$$

*Then each of the following statements hold.*

1. *If $T$ is of finite order, then $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ can be interpolated by a polynomial.*
2. *If $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ cannot be interpolated by a polynomial, then $T$ is of infinite order.*
3. *If $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a non-constant sequence with a bounded sub-sequence, then $T$ is of infinite order.*
4. *If $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is either a non-constant, non-negative, decreasing sequence or a non-constant, non-positive, increasing sequence, then $T$ is of infinite order.*
5. *If $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a non-constant alternating sequence, then $T$ is of infinite order.*

**Example 10.** Consider the diagonal differential operator,

$$T[x^n] = \frac{1}{n!}x^n. \tag{2.26}$$

Operator $T$ has the following differential representation (see Theorem 4),

$$T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}\frac{1}{k!}(-1)^{n-k}\right) x^n D^n = 1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2D^2 + \frac{2}{3}x^3D^3 - \frac{5}{8}x^4D^4 + \cdots. \tag{2.27}$$

Corollary 9 item (3) implies that $T$ is an infinite order differential operator.

**Example 11.** Consider the following Hermite diagonal differential operators,

$$T[H_n(x)] = nH_n(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{T}[H_n(x)] = (-1)^n nH_n(x), \tag{2.28}$$

where $H_n(x)$ denotes the $n^{\text{th}}$ Hermite polynomial (see [18, p. 187]). We provide the first few polynomial coefficients from Theorem 4,

$$T = xD - \frac{1}{2}D^2, \tag{2.29}$$

and

$$\tilde{T} = -xD + \left(2x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)D^2 + \left(-2x^3 + x\right)D^3 + \cdots. \tag{2.30}$$

We see that $T$ is a finite order differential operator while Corollary 9 item (5) shows that $\tilde{T}$ is an infinite order differential operator.

**Example 12.** While the notions of "polynomial interpolation" and "finite order" seem intimately connected (Theorem 8), there exist operators that are of infinite order and have eigenvalues that can be interpolated by a polynomial. Consider the following Legendre diagonal differential operator,

$$T[P_n(x)] = nP_n(x), \tag{2.31}$$

where $P_n(x)$ denotes the $n^{\text{th}}$ Legendre polynomial (see [18, p. 157]). Using the Legendre differential equation, we have,

$$(T^2+T)[P_n(x)] = (n^2+n)P_n(x) = ((x^2-1)D^2+2xD)P_n(x). \tag{2.32}$$

If $T$ is of finite order $\left(T := \sum_{k=0}^{n} Q_k(x)D^k\right)$, then $T^2+T$ is also of finite order $(T^2+T = (Q_n(x))^2D^{2n} + \cdots)$. Hence, we conclude that $T$ must be of order one, since $T^2+T$ is of order two; i.e., $T = Q_1(x)D + Q_0(x)$, where $Q_1(x)$ and $Q_0(x)$ are polynomials. Moreover, the polynomial coefficients of $D^2$ are equal and therefore $Q_1(x)^2 = x^2-1$. But this is impossible for a polynomial. Hence, $T$ cannot be of finite order. Thus, $T$ is an infinite order diagonal differential operator with eigenvalues that can be interpolated by a polynomial. For clarity, by Theorem 4, we provide the first few $Q_k$'s of operator $T$,

$$T = (x)D + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)D^2 + \left(\frac{2}{15}x\right)D^3 + \left(-\frac{4}{105}x^2 - \frac{1}{105}\right)D^4 + \cdots \tag{2.33}$$

Note that although $T$ is of infinite order, Theorem 8 still holds, in that $\deg(Q_k(x)) < k$ for $k > 2$.

We now generalize when polynomial interpolation will correspond to a finite order differential operator. For example, consider the Hermite or Laguerre basis of L. Miranian [14].

**Theorem 13.** *Suppose for the basis, $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, there is a finite order differential operator, $W$, such that $W[B_n(x)] = nB_n(x)$. Now suppose $T$ is any diagonal differential operator such that,*

$$T[B_n(x)] := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x)D^k\right)B_n(x) = a_nB_n(x), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.34}$$

*Then $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ can be interpolated by a polynomial if and only if $T$ is a finite order differential operator.*

*Proof.* If $T$ is a finite order diagonal differential operator, then, by Corollary 9 item (1), $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ can be interpolated by a polynomial. Conversely, suppose that $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ can be interpolated by a polynomial, $p(x)$. Using the operator, $W$, from our assumption, we observe that $p(W)B_n(x) = p(n)B_n(x) = a_nB_n(x)$. Hence, by uniqueness in Theorem 4, $T = p(W)$. Thus, $T$ is a finite order diagonal differential operator. □

**Question 14.** In relation to the results of L. Miranian [14] and Theorem 13, we might wonder if a more general statement is possible. Suppose $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a simple basis of polynomials, and $W$ is a finite order differential operator of "smallest order" that diagonalizes $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, as in,

$$W[B_n(x)] = a_nB_n(x). \tag{2.35}$$

By "smallest order" we mean that if $U$ is any other operator that diagonalizes $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, then the order of $W$ is smaller than the order of $U$. This leads to the following question. If $T$ is any other finite order differential operator that diagonalizes $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$,

$$T[B_n(x)] = c_nB_n(x), \tag{2.36}$$

then must there exist a polynomial, $p(x)$, such that,

$$p(W)B_n(x) = T[B_n(x)] = c_nB_n(x) = p(a_n)B_n(x), \tag{2.37}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$?

We now begin work on the uniqueness of eigenvalues and eigenvectors in a diagonal differential operators.

**Theorem 15.** *Suppose $T$ is a diagonal differential operator with respect to $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and with respect to $\{\tilde{a}_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{B}_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$; that is,*

$$T[B_n(x)] = a_nB_n(x) \quad \text{and} \quad T[\tilde{B}_n(x)] = \tilde{a}_n\tilde{B}_n(x). \tag{2.38}$$

*Then $a_n = \tilde{a}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

*Proof.* Use Theorem 7 after noting that formula (2.17) is independent of basis. □

Upon stating the above theorem, we immediately ask if the $B_n$'s in a diagonal differential operator are also unique. Simple examples demonstrate that this is not the case. In particular, for any sequence $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{B}_n(x) := \beta_n B_n(x)$, where $T[B_n(x)] = a_n B_n(x)$, then certainly, by linearity, $T[\tilde{B}_n(x)] = a_n \tilde{B}_n(x)$; that is, any diagonal differential operator, $T$, will diagonalize on multiples of it's diagonalization. However, under additional restrictions on the eigenvalues, then we can show that the basis chosen for diagonalization is unique up to the constant multiplies noted above.

**Theorem 16** ([12, H. Krall and I. Scheffer]). *Suppose $T$ is a diagonal differential operator with respect to $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and with respect to $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\tilde{B}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$,*

$$T[B_n(x)] = a_n B_n(x) \quad \text{and} \quad T[\tilde{B}_n(x)] = a_n \tilde{B}_n(x), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.39}$$

*For a fixed $m$, suppose $a_m \neq a_k$ for all $0 \leq k < m$. Then there is $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \neq 0$, such that*

$$B_m(x) = \beta \tilde{B}_m(x). \tag{2.40}$$

*Proof.* Since $\{\tilde{B}_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a simple basis, $B_m(x) = \beta_m \tilde{B}_m(x) + \beta_{m-1}\tilde{B}_{m-1}(x) + \cdots + \beta_0 \tilde{B}_0(x)$, $\beta_m \neq 0$. We now apply $T$ to $B_m(x)$ and calculate in two different ways,

$$T[B_m(x)] = a_m B_m(x) = a_m\beta_m \tilde{B}_m(x) + a_m\beta_{m-1}\tilde{B}_{m-1}(x) + \cdots + a_m\beta_0\tilde{B}_0(x), \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$T[B_m(x)] = T[\beta_m \tilde{B}_m(x) + \cdots + \beta_0 \tilde{B}_0(x)] = a_m\beta_m \tilde{B}_m(x) + a_{m-1}\beta_{m-1}\tilde{B}_{m-1}(x) + \cdots + a_0\beta_0\tilde{B}_0(x). \tag{2.42}$$

Equating coefficients from above, yields, $a_m\beta_{m-1} = a_{m-1}\beta_{m-1}$, $a_m\beta_{m-2} = a_{m-2}\beta_{m-2}$, ..., and $a_m\beta_0 = a_0\beta_0$. By assumption, $a_m \neq a_k$ for $0 \leq k < m$, thus $\beta_k = 0$ for $0 \leq k < m$. Hence, we have $B_m(x) = \beta_m \tilde{B}_m(x)$ ($\beta_m \neq 0$) as desired. □

**Example 17.** In some sense, Theorem 16 is best possible. Consider the following diagonal differential operators,

$$T[x^n] := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x) D^k\right) x^n = (-1)^n x^n, \tag{2.43}$$

and

$$\tilde{T}[H_n(x)] := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Q}_k(x) D^k\right) H_n(x) = (-1)^n H_n(x). \tag{2.44}$$

Using the recursive formula of Theorem 4, by induction, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$Q_k(x) = \tilde{Q}_k(x) = \frac{(-2)^k}{k!} x^k. \tag{2.45}$$

Hence, by linearity, $T = \tilde{T}$. Thus, $T$ is a diagonal differential hyperbolicity preserving operator that can diagonalize with two distinct non-trivial bases (the bases are not simply multiples of each other) (cf. Theorem 16).

## 3. Hyperbolicity Preserving Diagonal Differential Operators

We will now refine the results of Section 2, specifically in the case of hyperbolicity preserving operators.

**Definition 18.** Let $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a linear operator on real polynomials. We say that $T$ is *hyperbolicity preserving* if $T[p(x)]$ has only real zeros whenever $p(x)$ has only real zeros. Furthermore, if $T$ is a hyperbolicity preserving diagonal differential operator,

$$T[B_n(x)] := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x) D^k\right) B_n(x) = a_n B_n(x), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{3.1}$$

then the eigenvalue sequence, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, is referred to as a *multiplier sequence* for the basis, $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, or simply a $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *multiplier sequence*. If for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $B_n(x) = x^n$, then $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is called a *classical multiplier sequence*.

Multiplier sequences are well studied throughout the literature. We summarize below some of the important properties that will be of use in the sequel (see also [6], [13, p. 341], [16, Proposition 45, p. 43]).

**Theorem 19.** *Let $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a classical multiplier sequence. Then each of the following assertions hold:*

(1) $\{|\gamma_k|\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $\{-|\gamma_k|\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $\{(-1)^k|\gamma_k|\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, *and* $\{(-1)^{k+1}|\gamma_k|\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ *are also classical multiplier sequences, furthermore one of these is the original sequence,* $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, *and*
(2) *the Turán inequalities are satisfied; i.e.,* $\gamma_{k+1}^2 - \gamma_k\gamma_{k+2} \geq 0$ *for every* $k \geq 0$.

**Remark 20.** The Turán inequalities are also satisfied for the coefficients of polynomials with only real zeros (see [8, Corollary 4.11, p. 108]). In particular, we note that if a polynomial has only real negative zeros then none of the polynomial coefficients are zero. Likewise, if a transcendental entire function can be approximated by polynomials with only real negative zeros and has no zeros at the origin, then none of the Taylor coefficients are zero.

Another important result, in the study of multiplier sequences and hyperbolicity preserving operators, is the multiplier sequence categorization theorem of A. Piotrowski.

**Theorem 21** ([16, Theorem 158, p. 145])**.** *If* $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ *is a* $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *multiplier sequence* $(\deg(B_n(x)) = n,\ B_0(x) \not\equiv 0)$*, then* $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is also a classical multiplier sequence.*

With the results in the previous section, we are now in a position to extend A. Piotrowski's result (Theorem 21) and provide the exact relationship of the differential representations.

**Theorem 22.** *Suppose* $T$ *is a diagonal differential operator with respect to* $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *that is also hyperbolicity preserving,*

$$T[B_n(x)] := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x)D^k\right) B_n(x) = a_n B_n(x), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{3.2}$$

*Then*

$$\tilde{T}[x^n] := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_k^{(k)}}{k!}x^k D^k\right) x^n = a_n x^n, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{3.3}$$

*is a diagonal differential operator with respect to* $\{x^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *and is also hyperbolicity preserving.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 21, it is already know that if $T[B_n(x)] := a_n B_n(x)$ is hyperbolicity preserving, then $\tilde{T}[x^n] := a_n x^n$ must also be hyperbolicity preserving. Thus, the new aspect of this theorem is the discovery of the exact differential form of $\tilde{T}$. That is, we wish to show,

$$\tilde{T} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_k^{(k)}}{k!}x^k D^k. \tag{3.4}$$

Define,

$$W := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_k^{(k)}}{k!}x^k D^k. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $T[B_n(x)] = a_n B_n(x)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then by Theorem 7, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} Q_k^{(k)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus,

$$W[x^n] = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_k^{(k)}}{k!}x^k D^k\right) x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{Q_k^{(k)}}{k!}x^k \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}x^{n-k} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} Q_k^{(k)}\right) x^n = a_n x^n. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, $W$ and $\tilde{T}$ agree on the basis $\{x^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. So, by linearity, $W = \tilde{T}$. □

Similar to Section 2, we now begin some work on the uniqueness of the differential representation for hyperbolicity preserving operators. In Theorem 16, it is realized that non-repeating eigenvalues (i.e., $a_n \neq a_m$ for $n \neq m$) gives us unique eigenvectors (up to a multiple). In particular, strictly increasing eigenvalues must have unique eigenvectors (up to a multiple). Hence, the following case of hyperbolicity preservers is of interest.

**Theorem 23.** *Suppose* $T$ *is a hyperbolicity preserving diagonal differential operator,*

$$T[B_n(x)] = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x)D^k\right) B_n(x) = a_n B_n(x), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{3.8}$$

*where* $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $a_0 > 0$, *is interpolatable by a non-constant polynomial. Then* $T$ *must have strictly increasing eigenvalues.*

*Proof.* Multiplier sequences are either all non-negative, non-positive, or alternate in sign (Theorem 19 item (1)). Since $a_0 > 0$ and $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is interpolated by a non-constant polynomial (i.e., $a_n \to \infty$ or $a_n \to -\infty$), then $a_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Furthermore, if $a_k \geq a_{k+1}$ for some $k$, then by the Turán inequalities (Theorem 19 item (2)), $a_{n+1} \geq a_{n+2}$ for all $n \geq k$. Thus, since $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is interpolated by a non-constant polynomial (again, either $a_n \to \infty$ or $a_n \to -\infty$), then we must have $a_k < a_{k+1}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. □

An analogous result can be formulated for operators that diagonalize on a basis, $\{B_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $B_n(x)$ has real simple zeros (see [9]). We also note that all finite order diagonal differential operators have polynomial interpolated eigenvalues (Theorem 9). Thus, we find that many hyperbolicity preserving operators have a unique diagonalization (up to a multiple, see Theorem 16). Of course, it should be noted that Example 17 serves to show that there are hyperbolicity preserving operators that do not have unique diagonalizations.

Increasing eigenvalues actually provide even further restrictions on the differential representation of hyperbolicity preserving diagonal differential operators (cf. Theorem 8).

**Theorem 24.** *Suppose $T$ is a hyperbolicity preserving diagonal differential operator,*

$$T[B_n(x)] = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x) D^k\right) B_n(x) = a_n B_n(x), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{3.9}$$

*where $0 < a_n < a_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is interpolated by a polynomial, $p(x)$, $\deg(p(x)) = m$, then $\deg(Q_k(x)) = k$ for all $0 \leq k \leq m$. Likewise, if $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ cannot be interpolated by a polynomial, then $\deg(Q_k(x)) = k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 21, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a classical multiplier sequence. Since $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is increasing, then by T. Craven and G. Csordas [7],

$$f(x) := e^{-x}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k!} x^k\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a_k (-1)^{n-k}\right) x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_k^{(k)}}{k!} x^k \tag{3.10}$$

is approximable by polynomials with only real negative zeros (see also [17]). If $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is interpolated by a polynomial, $p(x)$, $\deg(p(x)) = m$, then by Theorem 8, $Q_m^{(m)} \neq 0$ and $Q_k^{(k)} = 0$ for $k > m$. Hence, $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ with only real negative zeros. Therefore, by Remark 20, $Q_k^{(k)} \neq 0$ for each $0 \leq k \leq m$. Similarly, if $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is not interpolatable by a polynomial, then by Theorem 8, $Q_k^{(k)} \neq 0$ for infinitely many $k$. Hence, $f(x)$ is a transcendental entire function that is approximable by polynomials with only real negative zeros (furthermore, since $a_0 \neq 0$, $Q_0^{(0)} \neq 0$, so, $f(x)$ has no zeros at the origin). Therefore, by Remark 20, $Q_k^{(k)} \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. □

## 4. Acknowledgments

The author would like to formally thank the members of Dr. Csordas' Functional Analysis seminar, where many fruitful discussions and arguments took place in the preparation of this paper. In particular, thanks is extended to Dr. Forgács and Mr. Hallum for listening to hours of the author's mathematical rants. Special thanks goes to Dr. Csordas, whose advice, critiques, guidance, and criticisms are greatly appreciated.

## References

[1] R. Bates and R. Yoshida. *Quadratic hyperbolicity preservers & multiplier sequences.* In: *ArXiv e-prints* (Apr. 2013). arXiv:1304.1841v1 [math.CV].

[2] K. Blakeman, E. Davis, T. Forgács, and K. Urabe. *On Legendre multiplier sequences.* In: *ArXiv e-prints* (Aug. 2011). arXiv:1108.4662 [math.CV].

[3] J. Borcea and P. Brändén. *Multivariate Pólya-Schur classification problems in the Weyl algebra.* In: *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2010). DOI: 10.1112/plms/pdp049.

[4] J. Borcea and P. Brändén. *Pólya-Schur master theorems for circular domains and their boundaries.* English. In: *Ann. Math. (2)* **170**.1 (2009), pp. 465–492. ISSN: 0003-486X; 1939-8980/e. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2009.170.465.

[5] M. Chasse. "Linear preservers and entire functions with restricted zero loci". PhD thesis. University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, May 2011. URL: http://hdl.handle.net/10125/25933.

[6] T. Craven and G. Csordas. *Jensen polynomials and the Turán and Laguerre inequalities.* In: *Pacific J. Math.* **136**.2 (1989), pp. 241–260. URL: http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pjm/1102650727.

[7] T. Craven and G. Csordas. *The Gauss-Lucas theorem and Jensen polynomials*. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **278**.1 (1983), pp. 415–429. ISSN: 0002-9947. DOI: 10.2307/1999326.

[8] S. Fisk. *Polynomials, roots, and interlacing*. In: *ArXiv e-prints* (Dec. 2006). arXiv:math/0612833.

[9] T. Forgács, J. Tipton, and B. Wright. *Multiplier sequences for simple sets of polynomials*. In: *ArXiv e-prints* (Aug. 2011). arXiv:1108.5627 [math.CV].

[10] H. Gould. *Combinatorial Identities: A Standardized Set of Tables Listing 500 Binomial Coefficient Summations*. Morgantown, WV, 1972. URL: http://books.google.com/books?id=idI-AAAAIAAJ.

[11] H. Krall. *Certain differential equations for Tchebycheff polynomials*. In: *Duke Math. J.* **4**.4 (Dec. 1938), pp. 705–718. DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-38-00462-4.

[12] H. Krall and I. Sheffer. *Differential equations of infinite order for orthogonal polynomials*. English. In: *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **74**.1 (1966), pp. 135–172. ISSN: 0373-3114. DOI: 10.1007/BF02416454.

[13] B. Levin. *Distribution of Zeros of Entire Functions*. Translations of mathematical monographs. American Mathematical Society, 1980. ISBN: 978-0-8218-8642-7. URL: http://books.google.com/books?id=4P_7CBJG7igC.

[14] L. Miranian. *On classical orthogonal polynomials and differential operators*. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **38**.28 (2005), pp. 6379–6383. URL: http://stacks.iop.org/0305-4470/38/i=28/a=010.

[15] J. Peetre. *Une caractérisation abstraite des opérateurs différentiels*. In: *Math. Scand.* **7**.0 (1959). ISSN: 1903-1807. URL: http://www.mscand.dk/article/view/10574.

[16] A. Piotrowski. "Linear operators and the distribution of zeros of entire functions". PhD thesis. University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, May 2007. URL: http://hdl.handle.net/10125/25932.

[17] G. Pólya and J. Schur. *Über zwei arten von faktorenfolgen in der theorie der algebraischen gleichungen*. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **1914** (144 Jan. 1914). ISSN: 0075-4102. DOI: 10.1515/crll.1914.144.89.

[18] E. D. Rainville. *Special Functions*. Chelsea, New York: The Macmillan Company, 1960. ISBN: 978-0-8284-0258-3. URL: http://books.google.com/books?id=182kmgEACAAJ.

[19] J. Riordan. *Combinatorial Identities*. R. E. Krieger Pub. Co., 1979. URL: http://books.google.com/books?id=8vUZAQAAIAAJ.

[20] N. Saad, R. Hall, and V. Trenton. *Polynomial solutions for a class of second-order linear differential equations*. In: *ArXiv e-prints* (Nov. 2013). arXiv:1311.0188 [math-ph].

[21] G. Szegö. *Orthogonal Polynomials*. **23**. American Mathematical Society colloquium publications. American Mathematical Society, 1959. ISBN: 978-0-8218-8952-7. URL: http://books.google.com/books?id=3hcW8HBh7gsC.

[22] A. Zhedanov. *Abstract "hypergeometric" orthogonal polynomials*. In: *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan. 2014). arXiv:1401.6754 [math.CA].

*E-mail address*: rdbates@math.hawaii.edu